

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/807,692	04/17/2001	Motokazu Watanabe	43888-098	43888-098 2364	
75	590 07/02/2002				
McDermott Will & Emery			EXAMINER		
600 13th Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3096			NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743	Q	
	·		DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	{ <v< th=""></v<>		
⊶Office Action Summary		09/807,692	WATANABE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		ALEX NOGUEROLA	1743			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ess		
Period fo	• •					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this comm  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	April 2002 .				
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the i	merits is		
Dienociti	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	☑ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1,4 and 5 is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 6-11</u> is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
-	ion Papers	•				
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 April 2001</u> is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age		
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).		
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). al Patent Application (PTO-1			
C Detect and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/807,692

Art Unit: 1743

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed April 17, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues, "Toshihiko does not disclose a reactive layer having an additive consisting of gluconic acid or a salt hereof." See page 3 of the amendment of April 17, 2002. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As applicant points out, in Toshihiko the gluconic acid results from a reaction in the reaction layer involving the sample; gluconic acid or a salt thereof has not been added during formation of the sensor. First, why is the gluconic acid not an additive even though it has been added to the reaction layer after formation of the sensor? Second, even if "additive" is limited to addition during formation of the sensor, the label "additive" implies a product-by-process limitation. Toshihiko's sensor has gluconic acid in his sensor so his sensor has the same structure as applicant's claimed sensor; the only difference between the sensors is how they were made. The manner in which a product is made cannot be used to patently distinguish one product from another unless there is a resulting structural difference. MPEP 2113.

# Status of the Rejections Applied in the Office Action of January 17, 2002

2. The rejections of Claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,692

Art Unit: 1743

3. The rejection of Claims 1, 4, and 5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Page 3

Toshiko is maintained.

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 3, and 6-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

5. The reasons for allowablity of these claims have been provide in the Office action of

January 17, 2002.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

6. The United States of America application 09/406,832 listed on the IDS of April 17, 2002

has not been initialed because it is not a publicly available document; it has been considered

though.

### Final Rejection

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/807,692

Art Unit: 1743

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ALEX NOGUEROLA whose telephone number is (703) 305-

5686. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, JILL WARDEN can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7719 for regular

communications and (703) 305-5433 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Alex Noguerola June 26, 2002

/Jijil Warden upervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700